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## Quick sort - a step by step example

Quick sort is another divide-and-conquer recursive algorithm.
It is very efficient.
And can be even optimized!
It can be used for the project along with the other algorithm we saw!
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## Quick Sort: an example

| Index: | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value: | 77 | 42 | 7 | 12 | 101 | 5 |
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## Quick Sort: an example


pivot: $\quad \frac{\text { The pivot can be a random position in the list }}{\text { Anyway there are clever ways to choose it! }}$
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## Quick Sort: an example


pivot: $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { A common choice is the last element of the } \\ & \underline{\text { array! }}\end{aligned}$
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## Quick Sort: an example



Now we start comparing the elements in the list with the pivot starting from index $i=0$

## Quick Sort: an example



Is the element in $\mathrm{i}=0$ grater or smaller than the element at the pivot?
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It is grater!
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Now we can declare another pointer $j$ to keep track of the element that is grater than the pivot element
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Now we can declare another pointer $j$ to keep track of the element that is grater than the pivot element
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Next iteration we increase $\mathrm{i}=1$
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## Quick Sort: an example



Again is 42 grater or smaller than 5?
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## Quick Sort: an example



Again is 42 grater or smaller than 5?
It is grater so we increase i again

## Quick Sort: an example



Same here, is 7 grater or smaller than 5 ?
It is grater!
So we increase again the i pointer

## Quick Sort: an example



Until we reach 101 because the the same reasoning holds for all the elements!

## Quick Sort: an example



Now, the j pointer points at the first grater number we found in the list!
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In order to order the list we have to swap the element at the $j$-th position with the element at the pivot position!
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In order to order the list we have to swap the element at the $j$-th position with the element at the pivot position!

## Quick Sort: an example

| Index: |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 1 |
| 1 |

pivot: 5

Now the element that was the pivot is used to split in two sub-lists the original list
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pivot: 5

Since it is at the beginning of the list, we won't get the left side sub-list.
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pivot: 5
After the first iteration we have three properties:

1) The element that was the pivot is in its final position
2) Every element in the right side list is grater than the pivot
3) Every element in the left side of the list is smaller than the pivot

## Quick Sort: an example



Again, we select the last element of the list as a pivot
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## Quick Sort: an example



We ask: Is 42 grater or smaller than 77?
It is clearly smaller!
We increase both j and i index
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We ask: Is 7 grater or smaller than 77 ?
It is clearly smaller!
We increase both j and i index again
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We ask: Is 7 grater or smaller than 77 ?
It is clearly smaller!
We increase both j and i index again
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We ask: Is 12 grater or smaller than 77 ?
It is clearly smaller!
We increase both j and i index again
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We ask: Is 12 grater or smaller than 77?
It is clearly smaller!
We increase both j and i index again
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We ask: Is 101 grater or smaller than 77 ?
It is clearly grater!
So $\mathrm{j}=4$, also $\mathrm{i}=4$ because we cannot go further!
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Now we swap the pivot with the element at position $j=4$
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## Quick Sort: an example


pivot: 5

Now we get two sub-lists, the left side and the right side.

## Quick Sort: an example


pivot: 5

Again, the element that was the pivot (77) is now in its final position in the array along with 5

## Quick Sort: an example


pivot: 3

Starting from the left side list we chose always as pivot the last element.
Remember: it could be any index inside the sub-list!
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pivot: 3

Is 42 grater or smaller than 12 ?
It is grater! So $\mathrm{i}=2 \mathrm{j}=1$

## Quick Sort: an example


pivot: 3

Is 7 grater or smaller than 12 ? It is smaller!

## Quick Sort: an example


pivot: 3

So we have to swap 42 and 7 because 42 is larger than 7 and 7 is smaller than 12

## Quick Sort: an example


pivot: 3

And then we increase the $\mathbf{j}$ pointer $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{2}$
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## Quick Sort: an example


pivot: 3

Since we reached the end of the sub-list so we need to swap the element at position $\mathbf{j}$ with the pivot
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pivot: 3

Since we reached the end of the sub-list so we need to swap the element at position $\mathbf{j}$ with the pivot

## Quick Sort: an example



In both the resulting sub-list we just return the value as it is because they are both a single element list

## Quick Sort: an example


pivot: 3

Finally, the right side list (101) that we got before is again a single element list and have to be there.

## Quick Sort: an example


pivot: 3

The array is finally sorted!

## Quick Sort



Two Questions:

1) What is the main factor that influences the number of steps we have to do?
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Two Questions:

1) What is the main factor that influences the number of steps we have to do?
2) In the worst case how many steps we have to do to sort the list?

## Quick Sort: clever ways to chose the pivot

The pivot has a huge impact on the performances!
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We can find a way to chose it wisely!
Our goal is to ideally find a pivot that can split in half the list each time!
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The pivot has a huge impact on the performances!
We can find a way to chose it wisely!
Our goal is to ideally find a pivot that can split in half the list each time!
Why?

## Quick Sort: clever ways to chose the pivot

Splitting in half the array each time give us an advantage from a computational perspective!

## Quick Sort: clever ways to chose the pivot

In fact the overall complexity in that case would be $O(n \log n)$

## Quick Sort: clever ways to chose the pivot

Given a list of $\mathbf{n}$ elements we can partition the list in chunks containing 5 elements
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## Quick Sort: clever ways to chose the pivot

Then for each one of these chunks we compute the median values and we call them $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$
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## Quick Sort: clever ways to chose the pivot

Once we have $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ we can compute again the median value among these values and we take the median as pivot
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## Quick Sort: clever ways to chose the pivot

Doing so quick sort complexity becomes $O(n \log n)$ But why?
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Doing so quick sort complexity becomes $O(n \log n)$ But why?
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## Quick Sort: clever ways to chose the pivot

The median value is the value that separate in half the distribution
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## Quick Sort: clever ways to chose the pivot

If we partition a list in chunks with 5 elements and we take the median values we get $\frac{n}{5}$ elements: all the medians

| 2 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Quick Sort: clever ways to chose the pivot

If we select again the median of the medians, we can conclude that the chosen value is larger than half of the median values, and smaller of the other half.

| 2 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\underbrace{2}_{\text {smaller }}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\text {median }}$ |  |  |  |
| grater |  |  |  |  |

## Quick Sort: clever ways to chose the pivot

But this in turn means that it is also larger than (at least) half the elements contained in the chunks with smaller medians

| 2 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\underbrace{2}_{\text {smaller }}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\text {median }}$ |  |  |  |
| grater |  |  |  |  |

## Quick Sort: clever ways to chose the pivot

And it is smaller than (at least) half the elements contained in the chunks with smaller medians

| 2 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\underbrace{2}_{\text {smaller }}$ | $\underbrace{\text { d }}_{\text {median }}$ |  |  |  |
| $\underbrace{}_{\text {grater }}$ |  |  |  |  |

## Quick Sort: clever ways to chose the pivot

Doing so we can halve (approximately) each time the size of the input obtaining in this way a complexity of $O(n \log n)$
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