Luiss Libera Università Internazionale degli Studi Sociali Guido Carli

Algorithms A.Y. 2022/2023

Lab - Quick Sort

Irene Finocchi, Flavio Giorgi, Bardh Prenkaj finocchi@luiss.it, fgiorgi@luiss.it, bprenkaj@luiss.it©

17 February 2023

courtesy of: Andrea Coletta







Quick sort is another divide-and-conquer recursive algorithm.

It is very efficient.

And can be even optimized!

It can be used for the project along with the other algorithm we saw!

























Index:	0	1	2	3	4	5
Value:	77	42	7	12	101	5





pivot:





pivot: The pivot can be a random position in the list Anyway there are clever ways to choose it!





pivot: <u>A common choice is the last element of the</u> <u>array!</u>





pivot: 5





Now we start comparing the elements in the list with the pivot starting from index i = 0





Is the element in i = 0 grater or smaller than the element at the pivot?





It is grater!





Now we can declare another pointer j to keep track of the element that is grater than the pivot element





Now we can declare another pointer j to keep track of the element that is grater than the pivot element





Now we can declare another pointer j to keep track of the element that is grater than the pivot element





Next iteration we increase i = 1





Again is 42 grater or smaller than 5?





Again is 42 grater or smaller than 5? It is grater so we increase i again





Same here, is 7 grater or smaller than 5? It is grater! So we increase again the i pointer





Until we reach 101 because the the same reasoning holds for all the elements!





Now, the j pointer points at the first grater number we found in the list!





In order to order the list we have to swap the element at the *j*-th position with the element at the pivot position!





In order to order the list we have to **swap** the element at the *j*-th position with the element at the pivot position!





pivot: 5

Now the element that was **the pivot is used to split in two sub-lists** the original list





pivot: 5

Since it is at the beginning of the list, **we won't get** the left side sub-list.





pivot: 5

After the first iteration we have three properties:

1) The element that was the **pivot is in its final position**

2) Every element in the **right side** list is **grater** than the pivot

3) Every element in the **left side** of the list is **smaller** than the pivot





Again, we select the last element of the list as a pivot





We ask: Is 42 grater or smaller than 77? It is clearly smaller! We increase both j and i index





We ask: Is 7 grater or smaller than 77? It is clearly smaller! We increase both j and i index again





We ask: Is 7 grater or smaller than 77? It is clearly smaller! We increase both j and i index again





We ask: Is 12 grater or smaller than 77? It is clearly smaller! We increase both j and i index again





We ask: Is 12 grater or smaller than 77? It is clearly smaller! We increase both j and i index again





We ask: Is 101 grater or smaller than 77? It is clearly grater! So j = 4, also i = 4 because we cannot go further!





Now we swap the pivot with the element at position j=4





pivot: 5

Now we get two sub-lists, the left side and the right side.





Again, the element that was the pivot (77) is now in its final position in the array along with 5





Starting from the left side list we chose always as pivot the last element.

Remember: it could be any index inside the sub-list!





Is 42 grater or smaller than 12? **It is grater!** So i = 2 j = 1





Is 7 grater or smaller than 12? It is smaller!





So we have to swap 42 and 7 because 42 is larger than 7 and 7 is smaller than 12





And then we increase the j pointer j = 2





Since we reached the end of the sub-list so we need to swap the element at position **j** with the **pivot**





Since we reached the end of the sub-list so we need to swap the element at position **j** with the **pivot**





In both the resulting sub-list we just return the value as it is because they are both a single element list





Finally, the right side list (101) that we got before is again a single element list and have to be there.





pivot: 3

The array is finally sorted!



Quick Sort



Two Questions:

1) What is the main factor that influences the number of steps we have to do?



Quick Sort



Two Questions:

- 1) What is the main factor that influences the number of steps we have to do?
- 2) In the worst case how many steps we have to do to sort the list?



The pivot has a huge impact on the performances!



The pivot has a huge impact on the performances! We can find a way to chose it wisely! Our goal is to ideally find a pivot that can split in half the list each time!



The pivot has a huge impact on the performances! We can find a way to chose it wisely! Our goal is to ideally find a pivot that can split in half the list each time! Why?



Splitting in half the array each time give us an advantage from a computational perspective!



In fact the overall complexity in that case would be *O(n log n)*



Given a **list** of **n** elements we can **partition** the list in **chunks** containing 5 elements





Then for **each** one of these **chunks** we **compute** the **median** values and we call them m_1, m_2, m_3



T

Once we have m_1, m_2, m_3 we can compute again the median value among these values and we take the median as pivot





Doing so quick sort complexity becomes $O(n \log n)$ **But why?**





Doing so quick sort complexity becomes $O(n \log n)$ **But why?**





The median value is the value that separate in half the distribution





If we partition a list in chunks with 5 elements and we take the median values we get $\frac{n}{5}$ elements: all the medians





If we select again the median of the medians, we can conclude that the chosen value is larger than half of the median values, and smaller of the other half.





But this in turn means that it is also larger than (at least) half the elements contained in the chunks with smaller medians





And it is smaller than (at least) half the elements contained in the chunks with smaller medians





Doing so we can halve (approximately) each time the size of the input obtaining in this way a complexity of $O(n \log n)$

